

JUDGING NEWSLETTER

WORLD ARCHERY FEDERATION

ISSUE #106

November 2021

Content

1. Editorial
2. Congress – new rules and bylaws
3. Appointments for 2022
4. Upgrades
5. Meeting with Chairs and Deputies
6. International Seminar in Medellin 2022
7. Continental Seminar in Dubai
8. Team round shooting – Guidance and Interpretation
9. Re-accreditation Procedure
10. Continental Seminar in Ecuador
11. Pictures from recent Judges' Commissions
12. Replies to Case Studies N°105
13. New Case Studies

1. Editorial

from Sergio Font, Chairman of WA Judge Committee

Dear judges,

We have come to the end of the 2021 World Archery events season. This has been a successful year for our judges, as a result of how much you have worked to get prepared for the tournaments you have been appointed to.

Four major events were held after we published newsletter 105: the World Archery Youth Championships in Wroclaw, the Paralympic Games in Tokyo, and the World Championships followed by the Hyundai World Cup Finals - both in Yankton. Your committee is very proud of the job you did at these events.

The Judges Committee met on 9 October to discuss a 15-item agenda. We made important decisions regarding the conclusion of the current re-accreditation period in 2022, given the exceptional circumstances caused by COVID 19 which have prevented us from holding our usual conferences. We look forward to the opportunity of meeting with all of you again in the four webinars we will run at different times in 2022.

The Committee discussed the upgrade of International Candidates to full international status. Never before had we had so many candidates who deserved to be upgraded. As you know, we have constraints in terms of the number of IJ the WA Executive Board allows us to have. Nine judges were upgraded, but there were at least 8 more who could have been moved to the highest level as well. We apologize for not having been able to upgrade others this time. You can be sure that we have not overlooked or underestimated the work done by any of our judges.

We put together and approved the list of appointments for 2022 events. It is not an easy task to assign judges to events because we must observe several requirements which include gender balance, geographical representation, and the combination of experienced and newer judges. Field and 3D events are also special when it comes to deciding who will work at them because certain expertise in these types of events is necessary. Despite these limiting factors, most of the judges who applied for duty got



at least one appointment. Congratulations to all the judges who were selected. In order to ensure that our commissions run their 2022 tournaments with the same quality standards, our committee will meet with the appointed chairmen and deputies on 20 November.

The WA Executive Board approved a bylaw request from our committee to grant the status of Continental Judges to WA certified Youth Judges. This will allow most of your Youth Judges to attend the IJC seminar we will hold in Medellin, Colombia in April 2022, without needing to start all over at continental level.

Congress and the Executive Board passed new rules and bylaws in September. They will become effective in January 2022. You are invited to read them and get prepared to apply them next year.

Best regards,

Sergio

2. New rules and bylaws passed by Congress and the Executive Board

Valid as of 15 January 2022 (new wording underlined):

Rule - Name change of age groups

Book 2 Chapter 3 Article 4.2.1

World Archery recognises the following classes:

- ~~Cadet~~ Under 18 Women;
- ~~Cadet~~ Under 18 Men;
- ~~Junior~~ Under 21 Women;
- ~~Junior~~ Under 21 Men;
- Women;
- Men;
- ~~Master~~ 50+ Women;
- ~~Master~~ 50+ Men;

Rule - Change of the Groups for Individual Matchplay in Field/3D

Book 2 Chapter 4 Articles 4.5.3.3.5 (Field) and Article 4.5.6.2.4 (3D)

The winner of Pool A will shoot against the winner of Pool D and the winner of Pool C will shoot against the winner of Pool B for entry into the semi finals.

(Note: The pool tables have not changed).

Rule - Instinctive bow replaced by traditional bow

Book 4, Chapter 22.4, Article 22.4.1 and 22.4.7.1

Change division name from Instinctive Bow Division to Traditional Division.

22.4 Traditional Division

22.4.1 A bow of any type, which complies with the common meaning of the word bow as used in target archery, consisting of a handle/riser (no shoot-through type), a grip and two flexible limbs each ending in a tip with a string nock where a single string is attached directly between the two string nocks. In operation, the bow is held in one hand by its handle (grip) while the fingers of the other hand draw and release the string. The riser is of laminated construction and includes wooden laminates or made of one piece of wood. The bow may be a take-down type and may incorporate factory installed metal fittings in the riser for limb attachment, stabilizer bushings, etc. Bows may have adjustable limbs for poundage and tiller adjustment.

The bow as described above shall be bare, except for an arrow rest as described in 22.4.3. and free from protrusions, sights or sighting marks, blemishes or other reference marks within the bow window area which could be used for aiming. Weights inside the bow riser are permitted if installed during the manufacturing process and not post construction. Any such weights shall be completely invisible on the exterior of the riser and be covered by laminates applied during the initial construction with no visible holes, plugged holes, covers or caps with the exception of the original manufacturer's inlay or insert logo.

22.4.5 String walking is not permitted.

22.4.7.1. An anchor plate or similar device attached to the finger protection (tab) for the purpose of anchoring is not permitted. When shooting, the index finger or middle finger must be within 3 mm of the nock or touch the nock (split finger or 3 fingers under). When shooting split finger, a finger separator between the fingers to prevent pinching may be used. A single anchor or face walking is permitted. String walking is not permitted.

Bylaw - Target set-up for outdoor shoot-offs:

Book 3, Chapter 14, Article 14.5.2.1.1

Outdoors the target set-up for the shoot-off shall be as follows:

- For individuals there shall be one athlete per target butt on neutral target butts in the middle of the field.
- The 80cm six ring target face for compounds will be placed in the middle of the target butt;
- ~~For individuals when shooting on multiple centre faces the athlete shall shoot at the centre in the same position (A, B, C or D) that he used in the competition;~~
- For each team one target butt with one single target face, or three 80cm-centres with triangular set-up in the middle of the field shall be used. The individual team members shall decide which centre they shall shoot at when multiple centres are used.

Bylaw - Youth Judges

Book 1 – Appendix 4

Article 9.2.2

Be an accredited National Judge and be recommended by his or her Member Association and maintain good standing with their Member Association for the duration of their appointment. Consideration will also be given to Candidates who are not presently National Judges that are recommended and nominated by their Member Association.

Bylaw - Youth judges becoming Continental Judges

Book 1 – Appendix 4
Articles 9.5 and 10.2.1

Article 9.5

Accreditation as a World Archery Youth Judge will also confer the status of Continental Judge to the individual concerned.

A WA Youth Judge seeking accreditation as WA IJc shall be required to comply with the full requirements of this Appendix 4 for any such accreditation.

Article 10.2.1:

The same applies to World Archery-IJCs and World Archery-YJs.

Bylaw - Undershirt sleeves

Book 3 – Article 20.1.1 – 8th Bullet point

During finals with TV coverage, undershirts, compression shirts or sleeves may be used on condition that they match the predominant colour of the team shirt or are white (might include design, names, or markings but the predominant colour should be white).

Bylaw - Vibration dampeners in Barebow

Book 3, Chapter 11, Articles 11.4.6.1, 11.4.6.2 and 11.4.10.1

Book 4, Chapter 22, Articles 22.3.6.1, 22.3.6.2 and 22.3.10.1

Vibration dampeners are permitted. They may be installed in the riser by the manufacturer, or by attaching aftermarket dampeners directly to the riser or to weight(s). Any combination of weight(s) and vibration dampener(s) must pass through a ring with an inside diameter of 12.2 cm (+/- 0.5mm) without having to flex vibration dampeners to fit through the 12.2 cm ring. A riser manufacturers' angled stabilizer insert(s) are allowed, but angular brackets or connectors are not permitted. Weight(s) and dampener(s) may be added below and above the riser's grip, but must not aid the athlete in aiming or ranging in any way.

Bylaw - Shooting time (effective date to be advised)

Book 3, Chapter 12, Article 12.2.1

Book 3, Chapter 13, Article 13.4

Book 3, Chapter 13, Article 13.7

3.4.1 The total time allowed to shoot an end shall be determined by the total number of arrows to shoot in an end, where:

- 20 seconds per arrow is the time allowed for individual alternate shooting, and for all team and mixed team rounds including shoot-offs;
- 30 seconds per arrow is the time allowed for individual shooting during qualifications, Olympic round and Compound rounds where alternate shooting does not apply, including shoot-offs;
- For all para-archery rounds, the time per arrow shall be extended by 10 seconds for all situations aforementioned

13.4.8 2 The time limit may be extended in exceptional circumstances.

13.7.1 In the Individual Event 30 seconds per arrow (20 seconds when alternating) shall be given in the Olympic, Compound and Indoor Match Rounds.



Bylaw - Doubles competition instead of team event at Para-Archery

Book 3 Chapter 21, Articles:

21.4.1, 21.4.2, 21.4.3, 21.4.4, 21.4.6, 21.7.3, 21.7.4, 21.7.5

21.4 Classes

21.4.1 Teams

"Doubles" - teams that consist of two archers of the same sex shooting in the same class.

"Mixed teams" - one woman and one man shooting in the same class.

21.4.2 World Archery recognises the following classes for para-athletes in the bow divisions:

21.4.2.1

Recurve:

Individual:

- Women Open

- Men Open

Doubles:

- Women Open

- Men Open

Mixed team:

- Recurve Open

21.4.2 Compound:

Individual:

- Women Open

- Men Open

Doubles:

- Women Open,

- Men Open

Mixed team:

- Compound Open

Equipment rules are the same as Target Archery Rules

21.4.3 W1 Open

Individual:

- Women W1 Open

- Men W1 Open

Doubles:

- Women W1 Open,

- Men W1 Open

Mixed teams:

- W1 Open

For the W1 class, recurve or compound bows may be used.

Equipment rules are the same as Target Archery Rules, with the following exceptions:

- The peak draw weight of the bow is 45lbs;
- No peep sights or scope sights are allowed;
- Only one lip or nose mark is allowed;
- Level device is not allowed;
- Release aids are permitted



For the W1 50m round and W1 50m match play and the W1 doubles and mixed team rounds, the full 80 cm target face will be used with the 10 scoring zone used for all rounds.

The timing rules for Doubles apply the same as for Mixed team.

21.4.3 21.4.4 Visually Impaired (VI):

Individual:

- VI1,
- VI2-3

There shall be no distinction between men, women, compound or recurve within either division. All will shoot against each other in the appropriate division.

For the equipment rules of this division see 21.12. Visually Impaired Athletes

21.7.3. Rounds

EVENT	SPORT CLASSES			
	Recurve Open	Compound Open	W1	Visually Impaired
Women's Individual	YES	YES	YES	NO
Men's Individual	YES	YES	YES	NO
Individual (combined Women and Men)	NO	NO	NO	YES
Women's Doubles	YES	YES		
Men's Doubles	YES	YES	YES	NO
Mixed Team	YES	YES	YES	NO

Bylaw - Change of lane width for Para-Archery

Book 3 Chapter 21, Articles: 21.3, 21.6.3 and 31.11.4:

21.2 Classifiers Classification

21.3.1 Visually Impaired athletes classified as VI1 shall wear a blindfold when shooting. Other athletes classified as VI2 or VI3 will not wear blindfolds. All the VI athletes are classified by specific, trained VI classifiers.

Athletes with a visual impairment are classified according to the procedure laid out in the classifiers' handbook.

~~21.5.2 This international classification card is the plastic type or the paper sheet which is issued until the plastic card is ready.~~

21.6.3.

Wheelchair:

- A wheelchair of any type (provided that it does not have more than four wheels) may be used

provided it subscribes to the accepted principle and meaning of the word "wheelchair";

- No part of the wheelchair may support the bow arm while shooting;

For wheelchair athletes, the sides of the back support of the chair may not be further forward than half the width of the athlete's body at each side as measured from the furthest point of the backrest.

21.11.4 For all Para-Archery Events the ground shall be marked as set out in the World Archery Rules except:

Each athlete shall be allocated a minimum of 1.25m;

Lanes for individual events shall be a minimum of 2,50m (or 3,75m);

Lanes for doubles or mixed team events shall be a minimum of 3,75m.

3. Appointments for 2022

Indoor World Cup, Nîmes, France

Robert Erica (NED) – Chairman

Taavo Allik (EST)

Andras Hegedus (HUN)

Alternates:

Robert Potts (GBR)

Mariya Larkina (RUS)

Denis Paquet (FRA)

Christophe Pezet (FRA)

Indoor World Cup, Las Vegas, USA

Junji Ozawa (JPN) – Chairman

Liz Pérez (MEX)

Cesar Araujo (MEX)

Anthony Hillairet (FRA)

Alternates:

Carlos Cervantes (MEX)

Jesus Guevara (ESA)

Ringa Baltrusaite (LTU)

Niels Buitenhuis (NED)

Para World Championships, Dubai, UAE

Katy Lipscomb (GBR) – Chair

Alison Hagaman (AUS) – Deputy

Roy Cortes (COL) - DoS

Rupesh Kar (IND)

Carlos Cervantes (MEX)

Chen Ting-Ni (TPE)

Sunethra Senevirathne (SRI)

Lais Machado (BRA)

Denis Paquet (FRA)

Ghazaleh Rasouli (IRI)

Tanvir Ahmed (BAN)



Natalya Rodionova (UKR)
Carsten Kühn (GER)
Mariya Larkina (RUS)

Alternates:

Ahmed Koura (EGY)
Helmut Pöll (AUT)
Karla Cabrera (PHI)
Kristina Reitmeier (CZE)

World Cup 1- Antalya, Turkey

Karla Cabrera (PHI) – Chair
Guillermina García (MEX) – Deputy
David Catalan (ESP)
Maren Haase (GER)
Louis Simon Peter (MAS)
Robert Potts (GBR)

Alternates:

Taavo Alik (EST)
Charmaine Ho (RSA)
Klemen Cezar (SLO)
Ghazaleh Rasouli (IRI)

World Cup 2 – Shanghai, China

Rubens Terra Neto (BRA) - Chairman
Pecilius Tan (SGP) Deputy
Qu Yinan (CHN)
Davood Nematinia (IRI)
Saruul Enkhbat (MGL)
Li Xinping (CHN)

Alternates:

Kristina Reitmeier (CZE)
Paco Gimenez (ESP)
Lais Machado Nunes (BRA)
Hossein Nasirinejad (IRI)

World Cup 3 – Paris, France

Graham Potts (GBR) – Chairman
Martino Miani (ITA) – Deputy
Schandorff Vang (FRO)
Zahra Fahim (IRI)
Maki Nakano (JPN)
Paia War Nongbri (IND)

Alternates:

Vladimir Domínguez (CUB)
Friedrich Karle (GER)
Irati Zurbano (ESP)
Karen Pan (TPE)



World Cup 4 – Medellin, Colombia

Andrea Aguilar (GUA) – Chair
Adam Martínez (PUR) – Deputy
Jesus Guevara (ESA)
Klemen Cezar (SLO)
Charmaine Ho (RSA)
Megan Tierney (USA)

Alternates:

Ana Luiza de Mesquita (BRA)
Maki Nakano (JPN)
Carlos Cervantes (MEX)
Rubens Terra Neto (BRA)

World University Games – Chengdu, China

Bob Pian (USA) - Chairman
Ana Luiza de Mesquita (BRA)
Zahra Fahim (IRI)
Ranjan Bhowmik (IND)

Alternates

Wang Lian (CHN)
Shahrzad Allahyari (IRI)
Pecilius Tan (SGP)
Marusa Gajic (SLO)

World Games – Birmingham, USA

Robert Erica (NED) - Chairman
Kristina Reitmeier (CZE) – Deputy
Klaus Lykkebaek (DEN)
Paola Praschker (ARG)
Alexandre Vecchio (BRA)
Christoph Schillinger (AUT)
Aslihan Unsal (TUR)

Alternates

Carlos Cervantes (MEX)
Christina Tiflidou (GRE)
Indranil Datta (IND)
Anthony Hillairet (FRA)

World Field Championships, Yankton (USA)

Anthony Hillairet (FRA) - Chairman
Bettina Kratzmüller (AUT) – Deputy
Laura Lynne Churchill (CAN)
Saruul Enkhbat (MGL)
Paco Giménez (ESP)



Bjarne Strandby (DEN)
Rolf Volungholen (SWE)
Andrea Aguilar (GUA)
Wu Tsung-Yi (TPE)
Niels Buitenhuis (NED)
Liz Pérez (MEX)
José del Torno (ARG)
Shannon Russell-Cowan (GBR)

Alternates:

Hossein Nasirinejad (IRI)
Klaus Lykkebaek (DEN)
Robert Erika (NED)
Ringa Baltrusaite (LTU)

World 3D Championships, Terni, Italy

Barry Brophy (IRL) – Chairman
Indranil Datta (IND) – Deputy
Ringa Baltrusaite (EST)
Zhang Xiuzhi (NOR)
Friedrich Karle (GER)
Christophe Pezet (FRA)
David Tan (SGP)
Helmut Pöll (AUT)
Pyy Ekhholm (FIN)
Nabil Hussein (BRA)
Shahrazad Allahyari (IRI)
Katerina Koncalova (CZE)
Christina Tiflidou (GRE)

Alternates:

Guillermina García (MEX)
Bjarne Strandby (DEN)
Jesus Guevara (ESA)
Kristina Reitmeier (CZE)

World Cup Finals, Pending venue

Susanne Womersley (AUS) – Chair
Junji Ozawa (JPN) – DoS
Marleen Kroeders (NED)
Hossein Nasirinejad (IRI)

Alternates:

Roy Cortes (COL)
Paco Gimenez (ESP)
Barry Brophy (IRL)
Megan Tierney (USA)



Mediterranean Games, Oran, Algeria

Maya Shalaby (SLO) Chairman
David Catalan (ESP) – DoS
Irati Zurbano (ESP)
Marusa Gajic (SLO)
Christina Tiflidou (GRE)
Ahmed Koura (EGY)

4. Upgrades

The Judges' Committee has decided to upgrade the following judges to the status of WA International Judge:

BROPHY	Barry	IRL
CORTES	Roy	COL
KONCALOVA	Katerina	CZE
KRATZMÜLLER	Bettina	AUT
OZAWA	Junji	JPN
SHALABY	Maya	SLO
UNSAI	Aslihan	TUR
HILLAIRET	Anthony	FRA
VOLUNGHOLEN	Rolf	SWE

Congratulations to all these judges!

The Judge committee has also decided to award the following retiring judges the World Archery Judge Outstanding Service Award:

SKJOLDBORG	Flemming	DEN
HOONG	Mau Sui	SGP
PIAN	Bob	USA

5. Meeting with Chairs and Deputies for 2022 events

The Judges' Committee has scheduled an online meeting on 20 November 2021 at 14:00 (Lausanne timing) to discuss matters pertaining to chairmanship duties with the Chairs and Deputies appointed for the 2022 events. Also welcome are the Chairs and Deputies of Continental events.

The agenda will include the following items:

1. Online meetings with the commissions prior to the events.
2. Communication with technical delegates or the WA Event Director, whichever applies.
3. The role of the deputy.
4. Inclusion of continental judges in the commissions.
5. Team Managers Meeting – what is expected from the chairman.
6. Morning meetings during the competition.
7. Use of WA appointed judges and what duties can be given to national judges at events like World Cups and World Championships.
8. Event reports and Judge assessment forms.
9. New rules and bylaws to apply in 2022.
10. The specifics of Field and 3D events.
11. Judge position in team matches.
12. Handling uniform issues.
13. Handling too many officials on the field of play.
14. Additional day for the commissions to meet at the 2022 Para, Field and 3D World Championships.
15. Other topics that may arise.

6. International Seminar in Medellin 2022

From 8 to 10 April 2022, a World Archery Judge Seminar will be conducted by Sergio Font, Indranil Datta, Robert Erica and Megan Tierney in Medellin, Colombia to train Continental Judges as WA International Judge Candidates. The seminar will start on 8 April 2022 at 08:30 and end on 10 April 2022 at 16:00.

Participation requisites

- The seminar is open to Continental Judges and to **WA Youth Judges**, who comply with the requisites set in Book 1 Appendix 4. The **WA Youth Judges** will have to meet the requirements as per the new bylaw adopted at the last Executive Board meeting in Yankton 2021
- Participants must be able to communicate in the English language
- Participants must take the E-learning modules and pass the tests at least three months prior to the start of the seminar.

More than 30 applications have been received. The WAJC will decide whether the applicants comply with the requisites and will announce the list of those accepted by 5 November 2021.

The accepted participants, through their National Federations, shall request the link to take the E-learning modules and pay for the access pin. They must complete the modules by 31 January 2022.

7. Continental Seminar in Dubai

WA Asia is organizing a Continental Seminar from 17 to 19 December 2021 in Dubai. The sessions will be conducted by International Judges Junji Ozawa, Hossein Nasirinejad and Karen Pan. The deadline to register is 17 November and participants should ask for visa support from UAE LOC by 10 November. Application forms must be duly signed and submitted by the respective MA to WA Asia.

8. Team round Shooting – Guidance and Interpretation

During this last 12 months, as we have returned to competitions at the highest level, it has become apparent that there are two Team Round situations where our interpretation of the rules has been different. This has caused some confusion with the athletes and the coaches as they have moved from event to event.

The information below is intended to make it clear how these two items need to be dealt with:

1. Athletes' equipment touching the ground beyond the 1m line when the athlete is standing behind the 1m line waiting to move forward. (e.g., the tip of the long rod on the bow resting on the ground in front of the 1m line).

This has been treated by some as being a violation of rule 13.2.2, 2nd and 4th Bullet Points, with the equipment being treated as part of the athlete.

The Committee interprets this situation as follows:

The rules refer to the "Athletes" and "Members of a team" – at no point does it refer to their equipment. There is no perceived advantage gained from having equipment touching the ground in front of the 1m line while waiting, and Judges must be concentrating on the movement and foot positions (as well as the arrow withdrawal from the quiver, and in addition for compounds the release aid not being on the string already) of the athletes when they move forward and not the equipment.

2. Position of the Team Coaches in Matchplay.

There have been occasions where coaches have been advised they can stand inside the "team box" when the team is shooting in match play.

Your committee confirms that the correct position for the coaches is behind the "team box" – this places the coach behind either the waiting line or the media line – whichever forms the back of the box (closest to the athletes), which is consistent for all shooting situations where a coach is allowed on the FOP apart from the designated boxes used on finals fields of play.

Please can you all ensure you follow the above two interpretations from the Committee until advised otherwise by the Committee, thus avoiding any future confusion for athletes and coaches alike.

9. Re-accreditation procedure

As you all know, the current reaccreditation process runs from 2019 to 2022. Normally, the Judges need to attend one conference during this period. With the global Covid 19 Pandemic in 2020 and continuing into 2021, no conferences have been held in the last two years. Due to these circumstances, the following process will be followed as an exception only for this reaccreditation period:

Revised Accreditation Process for 2019-2022

1. Conference attendance will not be required (Article 10.1.5). Instead, 4 ninety-minute webinars will be held in 2022. To be re-accrued, a judge must attend 3 webinars at least.
2. The timed test normally taken at the Conferences (Article 10.1.4 4th Bullet point) will be replaced with an on-line timed test.
3. All other requirements of reaccreditation within Article 10 will remain.
4. In addition to the above, an additional open book test will be administered in the second half of 2022.

10. Continental Seminar in Ecuador

World Archery Americas held a continental judges' seminar in Guayaquil, Ecuador, on 2-4 October 2021. It was attended by 10 participants from Ecuador, Mexico, Chile, Guatemala and Colombia.

The lectures and practical sessions were conducted by International Judge Sergio Font and Continental Judge Alba Santos. Eight of the ten participants passed the accreditation exam.



The next Continental Seminar in the Americas will be held in Halifax, Canada on 28-30 May 2022.

11. Pictures of recent Judges' Commissions



Judges at the World Championships in Yankton



World Archery Youth Championships in Wroclaw



Paralympic Games in Tokyo

12. Replies to the Case studies N°105

105.1

At a national tournament, a qualification round, while archers are shooting. You are approached by a junior recurve archer who explains he lost his finger tab somewhere on the field while walking back from scoring the previous end. He tried to shoot one arrow without a tab (and missed) but indicates he cannot shoot without it and asks you what to do. What is your reply?

Reply: Most of our judges quoted the interpretation of September 24, 2019: "The loss of a tab, release or sight settings is not equipment failure". And that is correct for World Archery events. Some of you stated that it is a national tournament and a junior archer, and according to the Judge Guide Book: „However for national or local tournaments it might be necessary to be a bit more lenient“. Which means that it can be ok to handle this as an equipment failure at national events, especially when it comes to young archers. But as a general rule: A loss of a tab does not constitute an equipment failure! So in this case, when the archer asks you what to do, the answer is that the arrow he has shot is a Miss and he can now continue to shoot without his tab and search for it after the end or he can borrow a tab from another archer to finish his end, but he will not get extra time to make up the arrows not shot.

105.2

A compound archer has a peep sight (not the sight itself, but the peep sight in the string) which has an adjustable peephole, an iris diaphragm. You can adjust the aperture without exchanging any inserts. Do you consider it legal for Compound for target archery? And for unmarked distances in field archery?

Reply: Here, you all agree that this peep sight is legal for target archery. But for unmarked distances, the opinions of our judges are split roughly by 50:50. Some of you say that it can be used to estimate



distances, some say there is no way it can be used for that purpose. An interpretation request has been submitted to WA to have an official statement from the relevant committee(s).

13. New Case Studies

106.1

At an outdoor event, archer A and B are standing on the shooting line and are shooting their arrows on the same target on 70 meters. The arrows of both archers look quite similar as they both have yellow vanes. For the last arrow, by accident, archer A, who is standing behind archer B, takes one arrow out of the quiver of archer B (with a field archery hip quiver where the arrows show to the back of the archer, not to the front) and shoots it. Archer A did not notice that and shoots his last arrow. At the target, the archers notice that there are 5 arrows of archer A and 7 arrows of archer B on the target face and archer B realized that there is one of the six arrows which he wanted to shoot in that end left in his quiver. As a judge, how would you deal with this situation?

106.2

At a national youth championship, archer 12B, who is a recurve cadet woman, walks back to the shooting line without realizing that she left two of her six arrows on the target. The judge assigned to her target did not realize either. The DoS starts the clock and archer 12B starts shooting. It is only after she has shot one arrow that she finds out that two of her arrows are already on the target. She walks out of the line and tells the judge about the situation and mentions that she does not have two more arrows to complete the present end. What would you tell the archer in this situation?

***Replies to case studies should be sent to
sderiaz@archery.sport by 10 December 2021***